HW 1: Lexical Analysis

CSC 4351, Spring 2015
Due: 11 February 2015

1. Certain assemblers form their integer literals in the following way. Binary literals consist of one or more binary digits (0, 1) followed by the letter B; e.g., 10110B. Octal literals consist of one or more octal digits (0 through 7) followed by the letter Q (since O looks too much like 0); e.g., 1234567Q. Hexadecimal literals consist of at least one decimal digit (0 through 9), followed by zero or more hexadecimal digits (0 through 9 and A through F) followed by the letter H; e.g., 0ABCDEFH. Decimal literals consist of at least one decimal digit optionally followed by the letter D; e.g., 1234.

(a) Draw the state diagram of an NFA (not a DFA) for these literal forms; you may use $\epsilon$-transitions, you don’t need to use Thompson’s construction.

(b) Give a regular expression for the literals; you may use $\epsilon$.

(c) Modula-3 based literals have the form

$$base\_digits$$

(e.g., 2_11111111, 8_377, 16_FF, 255 are equivalent integer literals). Why is the Modula-3 form preferable to the assembler form introduced here, from the standpoint of a compiler (or assembler) writer?

2. (a) Using Thompson’s construction, construct an NFA that recognizes the same language as defined by the following regular expression:

$$(1*01*0)*1*$$

(b) Using the subset construction, convert the NFA into a DFA. Optimize the resulting DFA by merging any equivalent states.